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MULTIPLE FATALITY SCHOOL SHOOTINGS

Selected cases
Homicides in U.S. Schools: 1992-93 to 2004-05

Cases on school grounds during school day recorded by National School Safety Center.
The Myth of the Super-Predators

“On the horizon, therefore, are tens of thousands of severely morally impoverished juvenile super-predators. They are perfectly capable of committing the most heinous acts of physical violence for the most trivial reasons.” (John DiIulio, 1995, The Weekly Standard)
Juvenile Arrests for Homicide: 1993 to 2003

FBI Uniform Crime Reports
U.S. School Expulsions under the Gun Free Schools Act

U.S. Department of Education
Serious Violent Crime Rate in U.S. Schools
Rate per 1,000 Students Ages 12-18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1999 Selected Causes of Death

Accidents: 9779
Homicide: 2525
Suicide: 1857
Cancer: 1757
Heart disease: 740
Flu & pneumonia: 166
HIV: 97
School homicide: 17

21,373 total deaths. Data from National Vital Statistics Reports
What is the likelihood of a student committing a homicide at your school?

- 93 student homicides cases in 10 years = 9.3/year (1992-93 to 2001-02)
- 119,000 schools
- 9.3/year ÷ 119,000 = .0000781
- 1 case every 12,800 years
Serious Discipline Violations in U.S. Schools

- Physical fights or attacks: 35%
- Threats or intimidation: 22%
- Illegal drugs or alcohol: 20%
- Weapon poss. (nonfirearm): 19%
- Insubordination: 18%
- Distribution illegal drugs: 10%
- Use of weapon (nonfirearm): 5%
- Possession firearm/explosive: 4%
- Use of firearm/explosive: 2%
- Other infractions: 14%

“Serious” means expulsion, transfer or suspension of 5 or more days.

Data for 1999-2000 school year
The *Expansion of Zero Tolerance*

No Drugs
- No Toy Guns
- No Nail clippers

No Guns
- No Plastic utensils

No Knives
- No Finger-pointing

No Threats
- No Jokes
- No Drawings
- No Rubber band shooting

*No Accidental violations*
4 Kindergarten students suspended for playing cops and robbers during recess.

New Jersey district zero tolerance policy resulted in 50 suspensions in 6 weeks, mostly in kindergarten and 3rd grade for verbal threats.

8 yr old suspended for pointing a chicken finger at a teacher, saying pow-pow

10 yr old expelled for a 1” GI Joe toy gun

14 yr old convicted of felony for e-mail threat

17 yr old arrested & expelled for shooting a paper clip with a rubber band
“If you see these immediate warning signs, violence is a serious possibility:”

1. Loss of temper on a daily basis
2. Significant vandalism or property damage
3. Increase in use of drugs or alcohol
4. Increase in risk-taking behavior
5. Detailed plans to commit acts of violence
6. Announcing threats or plans for hurting others
7. Enjoying hurting animals
8. Carrying a weapon
FBI Recommendations on School Violence

“One response to the pressure for action may be an effort to identify the next shooter by developing a “profile” of the typical school shooter. This may sound like a reasonable preventive measure, but in practice, trying to draw up a catalogue or “checklist” of warning signs to detect a potential school shooter can be shortsighted, even dangerous. Such lists, publicized by the media, can end up unfairly labeling many nonviolent students as potentially dangerous or even lethal. In fact, a great many adolescents who will never commit violent acts will show some of the behaviors or personality traits included on the list.” (FBI report pp 2-3)
Profiling does not work.

- School shootings are too rare.
- Profiles make false predictions.
- Profiles generate stereotypes.
- Profiles don’t solve problems.
FBI Recommendations on School Violence

“Although the risk of an actual shooting incident at any one school is very low, threats of violence are potentially a problem at any school. Once a threat is made, having a fair, rational, and standardized method of evaluating and responding to threats is critically important.” (FBI report p 1)

Download at www.fbi.gov
Secret Service/DOE Recommendations:

- Create a planning team to develop a threat assessment program.
- Identify roles for school personnel.
- Clarify role of law enforcement.
- Conduct threat assessments of students who make threats of violence.

Download at: www.secretservice.gov
Threat Assessment

1. Identification of threats made by students.
2. Evaluation of seriousness of threat and danger it poses to others, recognizing that all threats are not the same (e.g., toy guns are not dangerous).
3. Intervention to reduce risk of violence.
4. Follow-up to assess intervention results.
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Guidelines for Student Threat Assessment: Field-Test Findings

Dewey G. Cornell, Peter L. Sheras, Sebastian Kaplan, David McConville, Julea Douglass, Andrea Elkon, Lela McKnight, Chris Branson, and Joanna Cole

Programs in Clinical and School Psychology, Curry School of Education, University of Virginia

Abstract. A demonstration project was conducted to field-test guidelines for schools to use in responding to student threats of violence. Results from 188 student threats occurring in 35 schools over the course of one school year are described. School-based teams used a decision-tree model to evaluate the seriousness of a threat and take appropriate action to reduce the threat of violence. Using threat assessment guidelines, the majority of cases (70%) were resolved quickly as transient threats. More serious cases, termed substantive threats (30%), required a more extensive evaluation and intervention plan. Follow-up interviews with school principals revealed that almost all students were able to continue in school or return to school after a brief suspension. Only 3 students were expelled, and none of the threatened acts of violence were carried out. These findings indicate that student threat assessment is a feasible, practical approach for schools that merits more extensive study.
Development of Guidelines

Guidelines for Responding to Student Threats of Violence

Purpose and Goals of these Guidelines
The purpose of these guidelines is to help school personnel deal with student threats of violence in a consistent, fair, and responsible manner. Our goals are to maintain a safe school environment and to respond to student needs that are indicated by threats.

Perceived risk of violence:
Media attention to cases of school shootings has stimulated fear that schools are not safe, and that serious threat is likely to occur at school. However, the risk of violence at school is very low in relation to the risk outside of school.A variety of factors can contribute to the perception of threats. The following are some of the factors that can contribute to the perception of threats:

1. Media reports of violence at schools:
2. School safety policies:
3. School climate:
4. Student behavior:
5. Teacher relationships:
6. Parent involvement:

What is a threat?
A threat is an expression of intent to harm someone. Threats may be expressed, written, or expressed through other means, such as through gestures. Threats may be directed to the student who is making the threat, or to an individual who is perceived to be at risk. Threats may be made in person, over the phone, or through other means, such as through email or social media.

Level of threat:
Threats can be classified as either serious or serious. Serious threats involve a high level of risk and require immediate action. Substantive threats involve a lower level of risk and may be resolved with less formal action.

Step 1. Evaluate Threat:
Step 2. Decide if threat is clearly transient or substantive:
Step 3. Respond to transient threat:
Step 4. Decide if the substantive threat is serious or very serious:
Step 5. Respond to serious substantive threat:
Step 6. Conduct Safety Evaluation:
Step 7. Follow up on action plan.
Field-Test Schools

22 Elementary schools
6 Middle schools
4 High schools
3 Alternative schools
35 Total
Team roles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Role Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principal or Assistant Principal</td>
<td>Leads team, conducts Step 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Resource Officer</td>
<td>Advises team, responds to illegal actions and emergencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Psychologist</td>
<td>Team member, conducts mental health assessments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Counselor</td>
<td>Team member, lead role in follow-up interventions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teachers, aides, other staff</td>
<td>Report threats, provide input to team. No additional workload.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

School divisions may further specify team roles and include other staff to meet local needs.
No Magic Formula or Crystal Ball

There is no formula, prescription, or checklist that will predict or prevent all violent acts. School authorities must make reasoned judgments based on the facts of each individual situation, and monitor situations over time.
What is a threat?

A threat is an expression of intent to harm someone.

Threats may be spoken, written, or gestured.

Threats may be direct or indirect, and need not be communicated to the intended victim or victims. ("I’m going to get him.")

Weapon possession is presumed to be a threat unless circumstances clearly indicate otherwise. ("I forgot my knife was in my backpack.")

When in doubt, assume it is a threat.
Grade Levels for 188 Student Threats of Violence

The chart shows the number of threats at different grade levels. The highest number of threats is at grade 6, with 28 threats. The number decreases as we move to grades 7 and 8, with 23 and 20 threats respectively. It then increases again slightly at grade 9 with 28 threats before decreasing to 10 threats at grade 10. From grade 10 to grade 12, the number of threats decreases steadily, with 8 threats at grade 11 and 3 threats at grade 12.
What did the students threaten to do?

- **Hit/Beat Up**: 77 cases
- **Kill**: 27 cases
- **Shoot**: 24 cases
- **Cut/Stab**: 18 cases
- **Vague**: 32 cases
- **Other/Bomb**: 10 cases

N = 188 cases
Who was the victim of threats?

- Student: 141
- Teacher: 23
- Other: 6
- Multiple: 17

Number of threats
## Student and Victim Special Ed Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not Spec Ed Victim</th>
<th>Spec Ed Victim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular Ed Threat</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec Ed Threat</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 155.
Threat Reported to Principal

Step 1. Evaluate Threat.

Step 2. Decide if threat is clearly transient or substantive.

Step 3. Respond to transient threat.

Step 4. Decide if the substantive threat is serious or very serious.

Threat is clearly transient.

Step 5. Respond to serious substantive threat.

Threat is substantive.


Threat is very serious.

Step 7. Follow up on action plan.
Step 1.
Evaluate the threat.

- Obtain an account of the threat and the context from the student and witnesses.
- Write down the exact threat.
- Obtain student’s explanation of the threat’s meaning and his/her intentions.
- Obtain witness perceptions of the threat’s meaning.

Document your evaluation.
All threats are not the same.

“I could just kill you for that!” (laughing)

“I’m gonna kick your butt.”

“There’s a bomb in the school.”

“Wait until I get my gun!”

“Let’s really make them pay for what they did.”

Context matters...
Step 2. Transient or Substantive?

- Determine whether the threat is transient or substantive.
- The critical issue is not what the student threatened to do, but whether the student intends to carry out the threat.
- When in doubt, treat a threat as substantive.
Transient threats

- Often are rhetorical remarks, not genuine expressions of intent to harm.
- At worst, express temporary feelings of anger or frustration.
- Usually can be resolved on the scene or in the office.
- After resolution, the threat no longer exists.
- Usually end with an apology or clarification.
Transient Threats

Step 1. Evaluate Threat.

Step 2. Decide if threat is clearly transient or substantive.

- Threat is clearly transient.
  - Step 3. Respond to transient threat.

- Threat is substantive.
  - Step 4. Decide if the substantive threat is serious or very serious.
    - Threat is serious.
      - Step 5. Respond to serious substantive threat.
    - Threat is very serious.
      - Step 7. Follow up on action plan.
Step 3. Responses to a transient threat.

- No need to take safety precautions.
- See that threat is resolved through explanation, apology, making amends.
- Provide counseling and education where appropriate.
- Administer discipline if appropriate.
Who made transient threats?

Number of transient threats

K 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
Transient versus substantive threats

Transient Threats 70%

Substantive Threats 30%
Substantive threats

- Express intent to physically injure someone beyond the immediate situation.
- There is at least some risk the student will carry out the threat.
- Require that you take protective action, including warning intended victims and parents.
- May be legal violations and require police consultation.
- When in doubt, treat threats as substantive.
Substantive threats: Factors to consider

- Age of student
- Capability of student to carry out the threat
- Student’s discipline history
- Credibility of student and willingness to acknowledge his or her behavior
- Credibility of witness accounts
- When in doubt, treat threats as substantive.
Presumptive indicators of substantive threats

• Specific, plausible details. ("I am going to blast Mr. Johnson with my pistol.")
• Threat has been repeated over time. ("He’s been telling everyone he is going to get you.")
• Threat reported as a plan ("Wait until you see what happens next Tuesday in the library.")
• Accomplices or recruitment of accomplices.
• Physical evidence of intent (written plans, lists of victims, bomb materials, etc.)
Who made substantive threats?

Number of substantive threats

[Graph showing the number of substantive threats over time, with peaks and troughs indicated by points on the x-axis and corresponding values on the y-axis.]
Step 4. Serious or very serious substantive threat?

- Substantive assault threats are classified **serious**. ("I’m gonna beat him up.")
- Substantive threats to kill, rape, or inflict very serious injury are classified **very serious**. ("I’m gonna break his arm.")
- Substantive threats involving a weapon are classified **very serious**.
Very serious cases are relatively rare.

Very Serious

Substantive Threats

Transient Threats
Very Serious Substantive Threats

Threat Reported to Principal

Step 1. Evaluate Threat.

Step 2. Decide if threat is clearly transient or substantive.

- Threat is clearly transient.
  - Step 3. Respond to transient threat.

- Threat is substantive.
  - Step 4. Decide if the substantive threat is serious or very serious.
    - Threat is serious.
      - Step 5. Respond to serious substantive threat.
    - Threat is very serious.
      - Step 7. Follow up on action plan.
Step 6.
Conduct a Safety Evaluation for a Very Serious Substantive Threat.

Safety Evaluation conducted by a team.

- Principal leads the team.
- School psychologist or other mental health professional conducts Mental Health Assessment.
- School resource officer consults on legal issues.
- School counselor leads intervention planning.
Very Serious Substantive Threat: Case Example of 8th Grade John

- 8th grade John reported by another student to have a hit list.
- Tells former girlfriend, “I’m gonna get even with you and all your friends by blowing you all away with a shotgun.”
- John denies hit list or threatening statement. Later acknowledges anger at several classmates and at Alice, his former girlfriend.
Immediate responses to a Very Serious Substantive Threat

- Take precautions to protect potential victims.
- Consult with law enforcement promptly.
- Notify intended victim and victim’s parents.
- Notify student’s parents.
- Begin Mental Health Assessment.
- Determine safety during suspension.
Very Serious Substantive Threat: Case Example of 8th Grade John

- Principal contacted SRO. They inquire about John’s access to firearms.
- Parents summoned to school. John is suspended, referred for evaluation.
- Parents of victims are informed of threat, reassured about school’s actions.
Mental Health Assessment

- Not a prediction model.
- Identify any mental health needs.
- Identify reasons why threat was made.
- Propose strategies for reducing risk.
Very Serious Substantive Threat: Case Example of 8th Grade John

- John describes feeling rejected by Alice, teased by other boys.
- John admits hit list. Denies intent to hurt anyone. “They was messing with me and I was messing back.”
- John is willing to attend mediation sessions.
Parent interview

• Parent knowledge of threat
• Current stressors, family relations, childhood history
• Recent behavior and mental health
• School adjustment
• Peer relations and bullying
• History of aggressive and delinquent behavior, exposure to violence, access to weapons
• Willingness to assist in a safety plan
Very Serious Substantive Threat: Case Example of 8th Grade John

- John’s mother reports that John was treated for depression, stopped his medication a few months ago.
- John is stressed over parental separation and conflict.
- John does not have history of violence, does not have ready access to firearms.
Step 7. Follow up with action plan.

- Determine action plan to reduce risk of violence.
- Identify appropriate interventions for student.
- Schedule follow-up contact with student to assess current risk and update plan.
Very Serious Substantive Threat: Case Example of 8th Grade John

- John returns to outpatient treatment. Parents recognize impact of their conflict on John.
- Bullying allegation is investigated. John attends mediation sessions with other students. Mutual apologies are given.
- John meets with SRO, agrees to report further problems to him. School counselor establishes relationship.
How did schools respond to 188 threats?

- Suspend: 94
- Expel: 3
- Arrest: 6
Follow-up interviews on student threats

- Interviews conducted with school principals at end of school year and again the following fall.
- Follow-up time periods averaged 148 days until end of school year.
- Four students did not return to school after the threat, 17 left within 30 days after threat.
Change in student’s behavior after the threat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Worse</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As rated by school principals, followed up after the school year. N = 176.
Change in student’s relationship with victim after the threat

As rated by school principals, followed up after the school year. N = 126.
Follow-up on student behavior after the threat

According to school principals interviewed after approximately one year.
Liability Protection

• Follow recognized standards.
• Make reasonable decisions. (Perfection is not required.)
• Maintain adequate documentation. (Post hoc records are inadequate.)
Threat Notification: Take charge of the process!

- Immediately contact parents of all students who are involved.

- Safety trumps confidentiality. Share what is necessary to assure safety.

- Keep faculty and staff informed. They have the need to know.

- Consider sending a general letter of information to address rumors and fears.
Confidentiality has limits

- The Family Education Records Privacy Act (FERPA) applies to educational records, not all information about a student.

- Even information covered by FERPA can be disclosed in a health or safety emergency situation:

  “An educational agency or institution may disclose personally identifiable information from a school record to appropriate parties in connection with an emergency if knowledge of the information is necessary to protect the health or safety of the student or other individuals.” Sec 99.36 (a)
Research Needs

- Controlled studies comparing schools with and without threat assessment.
- Research on threat context and student characteristics.
- More follow-up studies of threat outcomes.
- Identification of effective threat prevention efforts (e.g., bullying reduction).
Intensive Interventions
Students with very serious behavior problems

- Intensive monitoring and supervision
- Ongoing counseling
- Community-based treatment
- Alternative school placement
- Special education evaluation and services

At-Risk Students
Students with some problem behaviors

- Social skills groups
- Short-term counseling
- Mentoring and after-school programs
- Tutoring and other academic support
- Special education evaluation and services

Schoolwide Prevention
All students

- Clear and consistent discipline
- Positive behavior support system
- School security program
- Programs for bullying and teasing
- Character development curriculum
- Conflict resolution for peer disputes

Threat assessment is part of a comprehensive approach
## Training Workshops

### Virginia School Divisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albemarle</td>
<td>Henrico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alleghany</td>
<td>Loudoun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amherst</td>
<td>Manassas Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appomattox</td>
<td>Nelson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>Patrick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlottesville</td>
<td>Powhatan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarke</td>
<td>Richmond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culpeper</td>
<td>Roanoke Co</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfax</td>
<td>Russell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauquier</td>
<td>Surry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluvanna</td>
<td>Warren</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Workshops Outside Virginia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>(San Diego, Oakland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>(Memphis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Training Evaluations

- **99%** agree training improved their understanding of student violence.
- **98%** agree training will help them deal with student threats.
- **97%** agree they will use our manual.